

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

SONNY D. BALVIN,	)	8:15CV56
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	MEMORANDUM
	)	AND ORDER
SCOTT FRAKES,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Filing No. 1) on February 17, 2015. The Court now conducts a preliminary review of the habeas corpus petition to determine whether the claims made by Petitioner are, when liberally construed, potentially cognizable in federal court. Condensed and summarized for clarity, Petitioner's claims are as follows:

Claim One: Petitioner was denied the effective assistance of counsel in violation of the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments because trial counsel (a) failed to impeach Petitioner's accuser at trial (*id.* at ECF 5); and (b) failed to raise a hearsay objection when the prosecution offered evidence of "recorded telephone conversations" between Petitioner and two other parties (*id.* at ECF 7-8).

Claim Two: Petitioner was denied the effective assistance of counsel in violation of the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments because appellate counsel failed to argue that (a) trial counsel was ineffective for failing to impeach Petitioner's accuser (*id.* at ECF at 5); (b) trial counsel was ineffective for failing to raise a hearsay objection when the prosecution offered evidence of "recorded telephone conversations" between Petitioner and two other parties (*id.* at ECF 7-8); (c) the trial court erred in admitting evidence of letters from Petitioner to his former girlfriend (*id.* at ECF 9); and (d) the trial court erred in admitting testimony by Petitioner's fiance concerning her sexual relationship with Petitioner (*id.* at ECF 11-12).

Liberally construed, the Court preliminarily decides that Petitioner's claims are potentially cognizable in federal court. However, the Court cautions that no determination has been made regarding the merits of these claims or any defenses to them or whether there are procedural bars that will prevent Petitioner from obtaining the relief sought. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Upon initial review of the habeas corpus petition (Filing No. 1), the Court preliminarily determines that Petitioner's claims, as they are set forth in this order, are potentially cognizable in federal court.
2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail copies of this order and the habeas corpus petition to Respondent and the Nebraska Attorney General by regular first-class mail.
3. By **June 8, 2015**, Respondent must file a motion for summary judgment or state court records in support of an answer. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a prose case management deadline in this case using the following text: **June 8, 2015**: deadline for Respondent to file state court records in support of answer or motion for summary judgment.
4. If Respondent elects to file a motion for summary judgment, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:
  - A. The motion for summary judgment must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the motion is filed.

- B. The motion for summary judgment must be supported by any state court records that are necessary to support the motion. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment."
- C. Copies of the motion for summary judgment, the designation, including state court records, and Respondent's brief must be served on Petitioner *except* that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the record that are cited in Respondent's brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner, Petitioner may file a motion with the court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the motion for summary judgment, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Petitioner may not submit other documents unless directed to do so by the court.
- E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner's brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. In the event that Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the motion is therefore fully submitted for decision.

F. If the motion for summary judgment is denied, Respondent must file an answer, a designation and a brief that complies with terms of this order. (See the following paragraph.) The documents must be filed no later than 30 days after the denial of the motion for summary judgment. **Respondent is warned that failure to file an answer, a designation and a brief in a timely fashion may result in the imposition of sanctions, including Petitioner's release.**

5. If Respondent elects to file an answer, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:

- A. By **June 8, 2015**, Respondent must file all state court records that are relevant to the cognizable claims. See, e.g., Rule 5(c)-(d) of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Answer."
- B. No later than 30 days after the relevant state court records are filed, Respondent must file an answer. The answer must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the answer is filed. Both the answer and the brief must address all matters germane to the case including, but not limited to, the merits of Petitioner's allegations that have survived initial review, and whether any claim is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies, a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, a statute of limitations, or because the petition is an unauthorized

second or successive petition. See, e.g., Rules 5(b) and 9 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*.

- C. Copies of the answer, the designation, and Respondent's brief must be served on Petitioner at the time they are filed with the Court except that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the designated record that are cited in Respondent's brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner, Petitioner may file a motion with the court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days after Respondent's brief is filed, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in response. Petitioner must not submit any other documents unless directed to do so by the court.
- E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner's brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. In the event that Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the merits of the petition are therefore fully submitted for decision.

F. The Clerk's Office is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: **July 7, 2015**: check for Respondent's answer and separate brief.

6. No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the Court. See Rule 6 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*.

DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp  
Chief United States District Judge